

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/877,695		06/08/2001	John R. Desjarlais	16380-002001	8902	
26161	7590	05/31/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC				MORAN, M.	MORAN, MARJORIE A	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1631	THE EXTREME	
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/877,695	DESJARLAIS, JOHN R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	arch 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
•							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7,14-18,38-50,60,61,67 and 68</u> is/ar	e pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-7, 14-18, 38-50, 60-61, and 67-68 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· •						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 2/1/4/0 9							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/877,695

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 1-7, 14-18, 38-50, 60-61, and 67-68 are pending. All rejections and objections not reiterated below are hereby withdrawn in view of the amendments and arguments filed 3/13/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-7, 14-18, 38-50, 60-61, and 67-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The method of the instant claims is computer-implemented and comprises only steps of mathematical manipulation. Claim 1 recites only generating a probability matrix, which is not a physical step. The steps of "generating" and "producing" recited in dependent claims are virtual steps, as made clear in previous office actions and responses, thus the claimed method does not result in a physical transformation of matter. In the absence of a physical transformation of matter, a computer-implemented method MAY be statutory where it recites a practical application; i.e. a concrete, tangible and useful result. Claims 1, 4-7, 14-18, 41-47, 49, and 67 recite only steps of mathematical manipulation but do not recite any actual, concrete result nor any output of a result in a tangible form useful to the user. Claims 2-5, 38-40, 60-61, and 68 recite generating or producing a protein sequence or (combinatorial) library of proteins. Both a sequence and a library are "concrete" results; however, as these results are not output, displayed or otherwise communicated in tangible form to one performing the method, claims 2-5, 40, 60-61, and 68 fail to recite a "tangible and useful" result.

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 48 and 50 recite steps of screening or selecting a protein for a desired activity. As the result of such a screening or selection step (i.e. a protein of desired activity) is not recited nor communicated to a user in a tangible form, claims 48 and 50 also do not recite a concrete, tangible and useful result. As the claims do not result in a physical transformation and fail to recite a concrete, tangible and useful result, they are nonstatutory. For a discussion of patent eligible subject matter with regard to computer-related inventions, see the Guidelines as set forth at 1300 OG 142 (22 Nov. 2005).

Claims 1, 4, -7, 14-18, 41-47, 49, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility.

The claims previously recited generating a probability matrix that represents a viable sequence space; i.e. that can be used to predict a "probable" sequence for an energetically favorable conformation of a protein. Determining or predicting an energetically favorable protein sequence or conformation has a well established utility. Claim 1 has been amended to recite generating a probability matrix "to generate a total probability" of each amino acid in a plurality of variant protein sequences, thus it is unclear (a) what the intended result of the method actually IS (the probability matrix, or a total probability, and (b) what the probability matrix is actually intended to represent (probability of what?). While the instant specification discloses several "utilities" for a

Application/Control Number: 09/877,695

Art Unit: 1631

method of protein design, on page 3, there is no disclosure of a utility for a method of merely generating a probability matrix of total fitness "probabilities." As a method of merely generating a data matrix does not have a well established utility and the specification does not disclose a concrete, tangible and useful result for the method of amended claim 1, the claims lack utility.

Page 4

Claims 1, 4, -7, 14-18, 41-47, 49, and 67 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7, 14-16, 18, 38-50, 60-61, 65, and 67-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/877,695

Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marjorie A. Moran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0720. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 6 am-2:30 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571)272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631

Mayore a. Morox